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#### Abstract

In this paper, we have considered an elementary statistical inference about the first 40960 digits of $\pi-3$. We can see that, in the wonderful, in apogee of irregularity, they have intuitive regularity. Based on this study and according to number of decimal digits used for this analysis, we can guess that probably, this intuitive regularity can be established for all Pi-number decimal digits.


## 1. Introduction

$\pi$ (sometimes written pi) is a mathematical constant, whose value is the ratio of any circle's circumference to its diameter in Euclidean space, this is the same value as the ratio of a circle's area to the square of its radius $[1,3,8,9]$. It is approximately equal to 3.14159265 in the usual decimal notation. Many formulae from mathematics, science, and engineering involve $\pi$, which makes it one of the most important
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mathematical constants. $\pi$ is an irrational number, which means that its value cannot be expressed exactly as a fraction $m / n$, where $m$ and $n$ are integers [1, 24]. Consequently, its decimal representation never ends or repeats. It is also a transcendental number, which implies, among other things, that no finite sequence of algebraic operations on integers (powers, roots, sums, etc.) can be equal to its value; proving this was a late achievement in mathematical history and a significant result of 19th century German mathematics. Throughout the history of mathematics, there has been much effort to determine $\pi$ more accurately and to understand its nature; fascination with the number has even carried over into non-mathematical culture. Probably, because of the simplicity of its definition, the concept of $\pi$ has become entrenched in popular culture to a degree far greater than almost any other mathematical construct. It is, perhaps, the most common ground between mathematicians and nonmathematicians. Reports on the latest, most-precise calculation of $\pi$ (and related stunts) are common news items [2, 4, 5, 7, 12, 13]. The decimal representation of $\pi$ truncated to 50 decimal places is: $\pi=$ $3.14159265358979323846264338327950288419716939937510 \ldots$. . Various online web sites provide $\pi$ to many more digits [20, 21, 22, 23, 26]. While the decimal representation of $\pi$ has been computed to more than a trillion (1012) digits [18], elementary applications, such as estimating the circumference of a circle, will rarely require more than a dozen decimal places. For example, the decimal representation of $\pi$ truncated to 11 decimal places is good enough to estimate the circumference of any circle that fits inside the earth with an error of less than one millimeter, and the decimal representation of $\pi$ truncated to 39 decimal places is sufficient to estimate the circumference of any circle that fits in the observable universe with precision comparable to the radius of a hydrogen atom. Because $\pi$ is an irrational number, its decimal representation does not repeat, and therefore does not terminate [10, 14, 17]. This sequence of non-repeating digits has fascinated mathematicians and laymen alike, and much effort over the last few
centuries has been put into computing ever more of these digits and investigating $\pi$ 's properties. Despite much analytical work, and supercomputer calculations that have determined over 1 trillion digits of the decimal representation of $\pi$, no simple base-10 pattern in the digits has ever been found. Digits of the decimal representation of $\pi$ are available on many web pages, and there is software for calculating the decimal representation of $\pi$ to billions of digits on any personal computer [2, 11, 20, 21, 23, 26]. The current record for the decimal expansion of $\pi$, if verified, stands at 5 trillion digits. This statistical survey stands at 40960 digits. It is not known if is normal [6], although the first 30 million digits are very uniformly distributed [4].

This paper is organized as follows:
In Section 2, the frequency table of decimal digits for the first 40960 digits of $\pi-3$ is introduced. In Section 3, the randomness test of these digits is presented. In Section 4, the goodness of fit test (versus uniform distribution) is done. The entropy of digits distribution is obtained in Section 5. In Section 6, the one step transition frequency matrix and one step transition probability matrix are shown. Finally, the conclusion is drawn in Section 7.

## 2. Frequency Table

The following frequency distribution of decimal digits is found for the first 40960 digits of $\pi-3$. It shows no statistically significant departure from a uniform distribution.

Table 1. Frequency table

| Digits | Frequency | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 4086 | 10 |
| 1 | 4160 | 10.2 |
| 2 | 3971 | 9.7 |
| 3 | 4067 | 9.9 |
| 4 | 4102 | 10 |
| 5 | 4117 | 10.1 |
| 6 | 4120 | 10.1 |
| 7 | 4085 | 10 |
| 8 | 4148 | 10.1 |
| 9 | 4104 | 10 |
| Total | 40960 | 100 |

Namely, distribution of all digits is uniform.

## 3. Randomness Test

The runs test procedure tests whether the order of occurrence of values of a variable is random. A run is a sequence of like observations. A sample with too many or too few runs suggests that the sample is not random. For more details, see [15, 19]. The following table summarizes results of run test:

Table 2. Runs test

|  | Digits |
| :---: | :---: |
| Test value (median) | 5 |
| Cases < test value | 20386 |
| Cases $\geq$ test value | 20574 |
| Total cases | 40960 |
| Number of runs | 20582 |
| Z | 1.002 |
| Asymp. sig. (2-tailed) | 0.316 |

So by sig (0.316), we do not reject the hypothesis of randomness, i.e., the all digits $\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9\}$ distributed randomly in the first 40960 digits of $\pi-3$.

## 4. Goodness of Fit Test

The chi-square test procedure tabulates a variable into categories and computes a chi-square statistic [15, 19]. This goodness-of-fit test compares the observed and expected frequencies in each category to test that all categories contain the same proportion of values or test that each category contains a user-specified proportion of values. The chi-square test table for our data is shown in the following table:

Table 3. Results for goodness of fit test

| Digits | Observed $N$ | Expected $N$ | Residual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 4086 | 4096 | -10 |
| 1 | 4160 | 4096 | 64 |
| 2 | 3971 | 4096 | -125 |
| 3 | 4067 | 4096 | -29 |
| 4 | 4102 | 4096 | 6 |
| 5 | 4117 | 4096 | 21 |
| 6 | 4120 | 4096 | 24 |
| 7 | 4085 | 4096 | -11 |
| 8 | 4148 | 4096 | 52 |
| 9 | 4104 | 4096 | 8 |
| Total | 40960 | 40960 |  |

Chi-square test statistic is 6.007 with $\mathrm{df}=9$ and asymp. $\operatorname{sig}=0.739$. Therefore, we cannot reject the hypothesis of uniform distribution of digits.

## 5. Entropy of Digits Distribution

The entropy of a random variable is defined in terms of its probability distribution and can be shown to be a good measure of randomness or uncertainty. Let $X$ be a random variable with probability mass function

$$
p(x)=\operatorname{Pr}(X=x), \quad x \in \mathbb{A} .
$$

Definition 5.1. The Shannon's entropy [25, 27] $H(X)$ of random variable $X$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(X)=-\sum_{x \in \mathbb{A}} p(x) \log (p(x)) . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, the entropy of Pi-number decimal digits distribution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(X) \simeq-0.1 \log _{2} 0.1-0.1 \log _{2} 0.1-\ldots-0.1 \log _{2} 0.1=3.3219 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the maximum entropy between all discrete distributions, i.e., the diffusion of decimal digits of Pi-number have the most disorder.

## 6. One Step Transition Matrices

Now, look at the following table that show number of one step transition from one digit to another digit only in one step.

Table 4. One step transition frequency matrix

| States | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 400 | 428 | 390 | 406 | 400 | 402 | 400 | 425 | 397 | 438 | 4086 |
| 1 | 428 | 431 | 383 | 413 | 434 | 397 | 428 | 387 | 434 | 425 | 4160 |
| 2 | 383 | 419 | 394 | 411 | 366 | 413 | 422 | 402 | 390 | 371 | 3971 |
| 3 | 392 | 412 | 398 | 377 | 432 | 429 | 379 | 408 | 398 | 442 | 4067 |
| 4 | 400 | 419 | 403 | 411 | 365 | 413 | 427 | 432 | 416 | 416 | 4102 |
| 5 | 410 | 384 | 408 | 422 | 430 | 412 | 418 | 427 | 415 | 391 | 4117 |
| 6 | 418 | 410 | 422 | 402 | 419 | 422 | 398 | 404 | 397 | 428 | 4120 |
| 7 | 422 | 444 | 390 | 421 | 378 | 376 | 428 | 404 | 435 | 387 | 4085 |
| 8 | 405 | 404 | 399 | 402 | 432 | 442 | 406 | 420 | 433 | 405 | 4148 |
| 9 | 428 | 409 | 384 | 402 | 446 | 411 | 414 | 376 | 433 | 401 | 4104 |
| Total | 4086 | 4160 | 3971 | 4067 | 4102 | 4117 | 4120 | 4085 | 4148 | 4104 | 40960 |

Look at marginal sums. With deferent component, the corresponding sum is equal. (No wonder!)

The following table shows one step ratio frequency matrix between digits, obtained from above table.

Table 5. One step transition probability matrix

| States | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1 |
| 2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1 |
| 3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1 |
| 4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1 |
| 5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1 |
| 6 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1 |
| 7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1 |
| 8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1 |
| 9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1 |
| Total | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 10 |

We see that, the probability of transmission from specific digit to next digit in one step, is equal to 0.1, i.e., the transmission between all digits in one step is completely random. Or from specific digit, the next digit is selected from $\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9\}$ uniformly. This endorsement the runs test for randomness.

## 7. Conclusion

In this article, we investigated some statistical properties of the first 40960 digits of $\pi-3$. Based on these results, we saw that all digits $\{0,1,2, \ldots, 9\}$ distributed uniformly in 40960 decimal digits. Also, by the one step transition probability matrix, the probability of transmission from specific digit to next digit in one step, obtained 0.1, i.e., the transmission between all digits in one step is completely random and we can conclude that since, these digits do not obey from any predictable statistical model, the prediction (with high confidence) of next digit in decimal digits of Pi-number is impossible.
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